

Registered Voters
State Election
November 6, 2018

WARD	PRECINCT	DEMOCRAT	REPUBLICAN	UNENROLLED	GREEN RAINBOW	LIBERTARIAN	SOCIALIST	GREEN PARTY USA	NATURAL LAW PARTY	MA INDEPENDENT PARTY	WE THE PEOPLE	INTER 3RD PARTY	AMERICAN INDEPENDENT	WORKING FAMILIES	AMERICA FIRST	CONSERVATIVE	RAINBOW COALITION	VETERAN PARTY AMERICA	UNITED INDEPENDENT	PIZZA	PIRATE	GRAND TOTALS
1	A	664	57	640	5	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	6	0	2	1,388
1	B	889	65	686	11	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	8	0	2	1,672
2	A	937	25	499	3	4	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1,473
2	B	641	24	413	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1,084
3	A	812	44	815	13	8	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	1,704
3	B	834	38	707	8	7	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	3	2	1	1,607
4	A	674	27	541	13	3	5	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	8	0	0	1,275
4	B	778	31	563	11	4	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	1	1,401
5	A	743	48	687	2	6	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	6	0	0	1,497
5	B	782	40	642	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	1,477
6	A	637	80	802	2	3	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	1	0	1,533
6	B	806	87	767	1	5	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	7	1	1	1,680
7	A	848	78	743	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	0	0	1,687
7	B	729	80	785	2	6	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	2	1,620
GRAND TOTALS		10,774	724	9,290	77	65	24	9	1	6	1	6	6	2	2	8	2	3	82	6	10	21,098

WARRANT FOR STATE ELECTION
The Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City of Northampton
To Be Held November 6, 2018

In City Council, October 18, 2018, upon the recommendation of City Clerk, Pamela L. Powers,
Ordered that,

Meetings of the inhabitants qualified to vote in the City of Northampton will be held on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 2018 in several polling places
designated for this purpose by the City Council as follows:

Ward 1, Precinct A	In Jackson Street School Auditorium
Ward 1, Precinct B	In Jackson Street School Auditorium
Ward 2, Precinct A	In Smith-Vocational-Agricultural High School
Ward 2, Precinct B	In Smith-Vocational-Agricultural High School
Ward 3, Precinct A	In the Senior Center, Great Room, 67 Conz Street
Ward 3, Precinct B	In the Senior Center, Great Room, 67 Conz Street
Ward 4, Precinct A	In the Senior Center, Great Room, 67 Conz Street
Ward 4, Precinct B	In the Senior Center, Great Room, 67 Conz Street
Ward 5, Precinct A	In Florence Civic and Business Building, 90 Park Street
Ward 5, Precinct B	In Smith-Vocational-Agricultural High School
Ward 6, Precinct A	In Robert K. Finn Ryan Road School
Ward 6, Precinct B	In Robert K. Finn Ryan Road School
Ward 7, Precinct A	In John F. Kennedy Middle School, Community Room
Ward 7, Precinct B	In Leeds School Gymnasium, Lower Level

The polls will be opened at seven o'clock in the forenoon and closed at eight o'clock in the evening of the said day, and all such members will in the several wards and precincts in which they are individually entitled to vote in the State Election between said hours give in their votes for the following offices and questions:

SENATOR IN CONGRESS FOR THIS COMMONWEALTH
GOVERNOR and LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR. FOR THIS COMMONWEALTH
ATTORNEY GENERAL. FOR THIS COMMONWEALTH
SECRETARY OF STATE. FOR THIS COMMONWEALTH
TREASURER AND RECEIVER GENERAL. FOR THIS COMMONWEALTH
AUDITOR. FOR THIS COMMONWEALTH
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS. SECOND DISTRICT
COUNCILLOR. EIGHTH DISTRICT
SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT HAMPSHIRE, FRANKLIN & WORCESTER DISTRICT
REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT. FIRST HAMPSHIRE DISTRICT
DISTRICT ATTORNEY NORTHWESTERN DISTRICT
CLERK OF COURTS. HAMPSHIRE COUNTY
REGISTER OF DEEDS. HAMPSHIRE DISTRICT

They will also give in their votes, "Yes" or "No" on the following questions:

Question 1: Law proposed by initiative petition (Patient - to - Nurse Limits)

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 2, 2018?

QUESTION 1 SUMMARY

This proposed law would limit how many patients could be assigned to each registered nurse in Massachusetts hospitals and certain other health care facilities. The maximum number of patients per registered nurse would vary by type of unit and level of care, as follows:

- In units with step-down/intermediate care patients: 3 patients per nurse;
- In units with post-anesthesia care or operating room patients: 1 patient under anesthesia per nurse; 2 patients post-anesthesia per nurse;
- In the emergency services department: 1 critical or intensive care patient per nurse (or 2 if the nurse has assessed each patient's condition as stable); 2 urgent non-stable patients per nurse; 3 urgent stable patients per nurse; or 5 non-urgent stable patients per nurse;
- In units with maternity patients: (a) active labor patients: 1 patient per nurse; (b) during birth and for up to two hours immediately postpartum: 1 mother per nurse and 1 baby per nurse; (c) when the condition of the mother and baby are determined to be stable: 1 mother and her baby or babies per nurse; (d) postpartum: 6 patients per nurse; (e) intermediate care or continuing care babies: 2 babies per nurse; (f) well-babies: 6 babies per nurse;
- In units with pediatric, medical, surgical, telemetry, or observational/outpatient treatment patients, or any other unit: 4 patients per nurse; and
- In units with psychiatric or rehabilitation patients: 5 patients per nurse.

The proposed law would require a covered facility to comply with the patient assignment limits without reducing its level of nursing, service, maintenance, clerical, professional, and other staff.

The proposed law would also require every covered facility to develop a written patient acuity tool for each unit to evaluate the condition of each patient. This tool would be used by nurses in deciding whether patient limits should be lower than the limits of the proposed law at any given time.

The proposed law would not override any contract in effect on January 1, 2019 that set higher patient limits. The proposed law's limits would take effect after any such contract expired.

The state Health Policy Commission would be required to promulgate regulations to implement the proposed law. The Commission could conduct inspections to ensure compliance with the law. Any facility receiving written notice from the Commission of a complaint or a violation would be required to submit a written compliance plan to the Commission. The Commission could report violations to the state Attorney General, who could file suit to obtain a civil penalty of up to \$25,000 per violation as well as up to \$25,000 for each day a violation continued after the Commission notified the covered facility of the violation. The Health Policy Commission would be required to establish a toll-free telephone number for complaints and a website where complaints, compliance plans, and violations would appear.

The proposed law would prohibit discipline or retaliation against any employee for complying with the patient assignment limits of the law. The proposed law would require every covered facility to post within each unit, patient room, and waiting area a notice explaining the patient limits and how to report violations. Each day of a facility's non-compliance with the posting requirement would be punishable by a civil penalty between \$250 and \$2,500.

The proposed law's requirements would be suspended during a state or nationally declared public health emergency.

The proposed law states that, if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2019.

A YES VOTE would limit the number of patients that could be assigned to one registered nurse in hospitals and certain other health care facilities.

A NO VOTE would make no change in current laws relative to patient-to-nurse limits.

Question 2: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION (Commission on Limiting Election Spending and Corporate Rights)

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 2, 2018?

QUESTION 2 SUMMARY

This proposed law would create a citizens commission to consider and recommend potential amendments to the United States Constitution to establish that corporations do not have the same Constitutional rights as human beings and that campaign contributions and expenditures may be regulated.

Any resident of Massachusetts who is a United States citizen would be able to apply for appointment to the 15-member commission, and members would serve without compensation. The Governor, the Secretary of the Commonwealth, the state Attorney General, the Speaker of the state House of Representatives, and the President of the state Senate would each appoint three members of the commission and, in making these appointments, would seek to ensure that the commission reflects a range of geographic, political, and demographic backgrounds.

The commission would be required to research and take testimony, and then issue a report regarding (1) the impact of political spending in Massachusetts; (2) any limitations on the state's ability to regulate corporations and other entities in light of Supreme Court decisions that allow corporations to assert certain constitutional rights; (3) recommendations for constitutional amendments; (4) an analysis of constitutional amendments introduced to Congress; and (5) recommendations for advancing proposed amendments to the United States Constitution.

The commission would be subject to the state Open Meeting Law and Public Records Law. The commission's first report would be due December 31, 2019, and the Secretary of the Commonwealth would be required to deliver the commission's report to the state Legislature, the United States Congress, and the President of the United States.

The proposed law states that, if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2019.

A YES VOTE would create a citizens commission to advance an amendment to the United States Constitution to limit the influence of money in elections and establish that corporations do not have the same rights as human beings.

A NO VOTE would not create this commission.

QUESTION 3: REFERENDUM ON AN EXISTING LAW (Transgender Anti-Discrimination)

Do you approve of a law summarized below, which was approved by the House of Representatives and the Senate on July 7, 2016?

QUESTION 3 SUMMARY

This law adds gender identity to the list of prohibited grounds for discrimination in places of public accommodation, resort, or amusement. Such grounds also include race, color, religious creed, national origin, sex, disability, and ancestry. A “place of public accommodation, resort or amusement” is defined in existing law as any place that is open to and accepts or solicits the patronage of the general public, such as hotels, stores, restaurants, theaters, sports facilities, and hospitals. “Gender identity” is defined as a person’s sincerely held gender-related identity, appearance, or behavior, whether or not it is different from that traditionally associated with the person’s physiology or assigned sex at birth.

This law prohibits discrimination based on gender identity in a person’s admission to or treatment in any place of public accommodation. The law requires any such place that has separate areas for males and females (such as restrooms) to allow access to and full use of those areas consistent with a person’s gender identity. The law also prohibits the owner or manager of a place of public accommodation from using advertising or signage that discriminates on the basis of gender identity.

This law directs the state Commission Against Discrimination to adopt rules or policies and make recommendations to carry out this law. The law also directs the state Attorney General to issue regulations or guidance on referring for legal action any person who asserts gender identity for an improper purpose.

The provisions of this law governing access to places of public accommodation are effective as of October 1, 2016. The remaining provisions are effective as of July 8, 2016.

A YES VOTE would keep in place the current law, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender identity in places of public accommodation.

A NO VOTE would repeal this provision of the public accommodation law.

Question 4 - This question is non-binding (Single Payer System of Universal Health Care)

Shall the state representative from this District be instructed to vote for legislation to create a single-payer system of universal health care that would provide all Massachusetts residents with comprehensive health care coverage including the freedom to choose doctors and other health care professionals, facilities, and services, and that would eliminate the role of insurance companies in health care by creating a publically administered insurance trust fund? YES or NO

Question 5 - This question is non-binding (Rank Choice Voting)

Shall the state representative from this District be instructed to introduce and vote in favor of legislation adopting a system for all state and local primaries and elections in which voters rank candidates in order of preference? YES or NO

STATE ELECTION
The Commonwealth of Massachusetts
November 6, 2018
City of Northampton

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

At a Legal Meeting of the Qualified Voters of all Wards and all Precincts, in the City of Northampton, holden on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in November, being the sixth day of said month, in the year Two Thousand Eighteen, for the purpose of giving in their votes for National, State and District Officers, also upon Question No. 1 Patient - to - Nurse Limits; Question No. 2 Commission on Limiting Election Spending and Corporate Rights; Question No. 3 Transgender Anti-Discrimination; Question No. 4 (Non-Binding) Single Payer System of Universal Health Care; and Question No. 5 Rank Choice Voting (Non-Binding)

The whole number of Ballots cast, including Absent Voter's Ballots was:

Fifteen thousand, two-hundred thirty nine (15,239)

And the whole number of Votes given in were received, sorted, counted and declared, and record thereof made in open meeting as by law directed; and said Votes were for the following named persons:

(COPY OF RECORD)

SENATOR IN CONGRESS

TIME	11:12 PM	9:20 PM	9:10 PM	9:31 PM	9:50 PM	10:05 PM	9:26 PM	10:20 PM	9:03 PM	9:25 PM	9:45 PM	9:40 PM	9:43 PM	9:41 PM	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
For ELIZABETH A. WARREN	738	1,085	1,101	654	1,062	981	776	957	829	972	802	1,013	999	998	12,967
For GEOFF DIEHL	81	139	60	43	96	98	47	75	122	108	245	219	172	213	1,718
For SHIVA AYYADURAI	19	37	22	15	39	31	16	23	32	21	29	31	36	27	378
All Others	-	-	-	-	3	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	2	9
Blanks	10	17	4	4	15	8	13	16	11	14	13	12	17	13	167
Total	848	1,278	1,187	716	1,215	1,119	853	1,071	994	1,115	1,090	1,276	1,224	1,253	15,239

GOVERNOR AND LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

TIME	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
For BAKER / POLITO	242	491	290	186	304	293	208	271	369	369	563	584	493	561	5,224
For GONZALEZ / PALFREY	593	757	873	518	891	805	625	779	606	724	506	668	709	667	9,721
All Others	3	1	-	-	2	1	-	1	2	-	-	-	1	2	13
Blanks	10	29	24	12	18	20	20	20	17	22	21	24	21	23	281
Total	848	1,278	1,187	716	1,215	1,119	853	1,071	994	1,115	1,090	1,276	1,224	1,253	15,239

ATTORNEY GENERAL

TIME	11:12 PM	9:20 PM	9:10 PM	9:31 PM	9:50 PM	10:05 PM	9:26 PM	10:20 PM	9:03 PM	9:25 PM	9:45 PM	9:40 PM	9:43 PM	9:41 PM	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
For MAURA HEALEY	764	1,129	1,115	673	1,115	1,012	783	976	864	993	863	1,061	1,062	1,048	13,458
For JAMES R. MCMAHON, III	69	128	57	33	76	82	44	78	117	98	211	182	141	180	1,496
All Others	-	2	-	-	-	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	7
Blanks	15	19	15	10	24	22	25	17	13	24	16	33	20	25	278
Total	848	1,278	1,187	716	1,215	1,119	853	1,071	994	1,115	1,090	1,276	1,224	1,253	15,239

SECRETARY OF STATE

TIME	11:12 PM	9:20 PM	9:10 PM	9:31 PM	9:50 PM	10:05 PM	9:26 PM	10:20 PM	9:03 PM	9:25 PM	9:45 PM	9:40 PM	9:43 PM	9:41 PM	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
For WILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN	673	1,012	976	589	958	846	680	824	764	896	829	1,005	958	949	11,959
For ANTHONY M. AMORE	63	102	49	27	59	75	33	56	96	75	163	150	113	152	1,213
For JUAN G. SANCHEZ, JR.	89	128	127	79	171	160	113	154	107	114	73	85	106	112	1,618
For JOSH ZAKIM			2	2		1							1	1	7
All Others	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Blanks	23	35	33	19	27	37	27	36	27	30	25	36	46	39	440
Total	848	1,278	1,187	716	1,215	1,119	853	1,071	994	1,115	1,090	1,276	1,224	1,253	15,239

TREASURER

TIME	11:12 PM	9:20 PM	9:10 PM	9:31 PM	9:50 PM	10:05 PM	9:26 PM	10:20 PM	9:03 PM	9:25 PM	9:45 PM	9:40 PM	9:43 PM	9:41 PM	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
For DEBORAH B. GOLDBERG	644	987	978	585	932	843	667	828	741	881	780	978	945	918	11,707
For KEIKO M. ORRALL	73	113	53	29	67	76	39	58	102	71	174	161	122	165	1,303
For JAMIE M. GUERIN	103	129	116	73	173	157	117	145	115	123	88	85	112	111	1,647
All Others	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
Blanks	28	48	40	29	43	42	30	39	36	40	48	52	45	59	579
Total	848	1,278	1,187	716	1,215	1,119	853	1,071	994	1,115	1,090	1,276	1,224	1,253	15,239

AUDITOR

TIME	#####	9:20 PM	9:10 PM	9:31 PM	9:50 PM	#####	9:26 PM	#####	9:03 PM	9:25 PM	9:45 PM	9:40 PM	9:43 PM	9:41 PM	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
For SUZANNE M. BUMP	617	928	943	563	916	815	652	804	734	837	732	937	908	885	11,271
For HELEN BRADY	66	119	48	31	71	72	33	59	100	93	193	172	128	162	1,347
For DANIEL FISHMAN	34	14	16	15	21	28	23	21	24	15	21	25	15	36	308
For EDWARD J. STAMAS	96	155	115	76	159	152	110	137	93	117	84	86	115	100	1,595
All Others	-	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	4
Blanks	35	60	65	31	48	51	35	50	43	53	60	56	57	70	714
Total	848	1,278	1,187	716	1,215	1,119	853	1,071	994	1,115	1,090	1,276	1,224	1,253	15,239

REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, SECOND DISTRICT

TIME	#####	9:20 PM	9:10 PM	9:31 PM	9:50 PM	#####	9:26 PM	#####	9:03 PM	9:25 PM	9:45 PM	9:40 PM	9:43 PM	9:41 PM	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
For JAMES P. MCGOVERN	760	1,144	1,107	678	1,110	1,023	796	981	866	1,008	866	1,066	1,052	1,059	13,516
For TRACY LYN LOVVORN	70	111	51	31	81	78	39	75	110	83	200	182	145	165	1,421
All Others	2	1	-	-	3	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
Blanks	16	22	29	7	21	18	16	14	18	24	24	28	27	29	293
Total	848	1,278	1,187	716	1,215	1,119	853	1,071	994	1,115	1,090	1,276	1,224	1,253	15,239

COUNCILLOR-EIGHTH DISTRICT

TIME	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total	
For MARY E. HURLEY	704	1,043	1,022	637	1,037	947	726	891	804	932	813	1,017	996	965	12,534	
For MICHAEL FRANCO	98	140	73	43	105	104	75	96	127	101	199	181	139	196	1,677	
All Others	1	1	-	-	3	-	-	3	2	-	2	-	2	1	15	
Blanks	45	94	92	36	70	68	52	81	61	82	76	78	87	91	1,013	
Total	848	1,278	1,187	716	1,215	1,119	853	1,071	994	1,115	1,090	1,276	1,224	1,253	15,239	

SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT-Hampshire, Franklin, & Worcester District

TIME	#####	9:20 PM	9:10 PM	9:31 PM	9:50 PM	#####	9:26 PM	#####	9:03 PM	9:25 PM	9:45 PM	9:40 PM	9:43 PM	9:41 PM	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
For JOANNE M. COMEFORD	758	1,132	1,075	675	1,100	1,010	779	988	861	991	913	1,087	1,063	1,060	13,492
For CHELSEA KLINE	5	2	4		4	3	1	1	5	5		2			32
For STEVE CONNOR						1			3		1		1		6
All Others	5	5	-	-	2	6	2	1	8	-	3	4	4	3	43
Blanks	80	139	108	41	109	99	71	81	117	119	173	183	156	190	1,666
Total	848	1,278	1,187	716	1,215	1,119	853	1,071	994	1,115	1,090	1,276	1,224	1,253	15,239

REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT--First Hampshire District

TIME	#####	9:20 PM	9:10 PM	9:31 PM	9:50 PM	#####	9:26 PM	#####	9:03 PM	9:25 PM	9:45 PM	9:40 PM	9:43 PM	9:41 PM	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
For LINDSAY N. SABADOSA	760	1,107	1,077	673	1,087	998	776	978	863	982	900	1,065	1,043	1,055	13,364
For DIANA SZYNAL	1	12	1		7	2		3	5	10	11	3	9	6	70
For CHELSEA KLINE	2		1											2	5
All Others	5	4	-	-	2	6	-	2	5	-	2	2	1	4	33
Blanks	80	155	108	43	119	113	77	88	121	123	177	206	171	186	1,767
Total	848	1,278	1,187	716	1,215	1,119	853	1,071	994	1,115	1,090	1,276	1,224	1,253	15,239

DISTRICT ATTORNEY

TIME	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total	
For DAVID E. SULLIVAN	757	1,123	1,067	659	1,091	993	773	967	871	973	927	1,089	1,080	1,065	13,435	
ALL OTHERS	3	6	1	-	4	6	1	2	8	-	1	5	3	5	45	
Blanks	88	149	119	57	120	120	79	102	115	142	162	182	141	183	1,759	
Total	848	1,278	1,187	716	1,215	1,119	853	1,071	994	1,115	1,090	1,276	1,224	1,253	15,239	

CLERK OF COURTS - Hampshire County

TIME	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total	
For HARRY J. JEKANOWSKI, JR.	752	1,096	1,041	648	1,078	981	766	931	859	963	926	1,071	1,061	1,056	13,229	
ALL OTHERS	1	4	1	-	1	4	1	2	4	-	-	4	1	2	25	
Blanks	95	178	145	68	136	134	86	138	131	152	164	201	162	195	1,985	
Total	848	1,278	1,187	716	1,215	1,119	853	1,071	994	1,115	1,090	1,276	1,224	1,253	15,239	

REGISTER OF DEEDS - Hampshire County

TIME	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total	
For MARY K. OLBERTING	747	1,078	1,038	647	1,059	975	761	931	845	963	911	1,053	1,036	1,022	13,066	
ALL OTHERS	1	3	1	-	2	3	-	1	4	-	1	4	4	4	28	
Blanks	100	197	148	69	154	141	92	139	145	152	178	219	184	227	2,145	
Total	848	1,278	1,187	716	1,215	1,119	853	1,071	994	1,115	1,090	1,276	1,224	1,253	15,239	

Question 1 - Proposed by Initiative Petition - Patient - to - Nurse Limits

WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
Those reading YES numbered	471	606	542	343	704	617	471	564	474	551	435	531	500	547	7,356
Those reading NO numbered	338	621	574	342	449	427	339	462	471	506	602	696	652	643	7,122
Blanks	39	51	71	31	62	75	43	45	49	58	53	49	72	63	761
Total	848	1,278	1,187	716	1,215	1,119	853	1,071	994	1,115	1,090	1,276	1,224	1,253	15,239

Question 2 - Proposed by Initiative Petition - Commission on Limiting Election Spending and Corporate Rights

WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
Those reading YES numbered	713	1,119	1,075	662	1,111	993	760	968	824	973	852	1,060	1,023	1,039	13,172
Those reading NO numbered	114	126	72	41	81	91	67	82	140	112	198	186	162	169	1,641
Blanks	21	33	40	13	23	35	26	21	30	30	40	30	39	45	426
Total	848	1,278	1,187	716	1,215	1,119	853	1,071	994	1,115	1,090	1,276	1,224	1,253	15,239

Question 3 - Referendum on Existing Law - Transgender Anti-Discrimination

WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
Those reading YES numbered	740	1,130	1,105	662	1,107	999	793	972	857	989	853	1,069	1,052	1,039	13,367
Those reading NO numbered	94	128	54	42	94	94	46	89	120	107	206	191	155	185	1,605
Blanks	14	20	28	12	14	26	14	10	17	19	31	16	17	29	267
Total	848	1,278	1,187	716	1,215	1,119	853	1,071	994	1,115	1,090	1,276	1,224	1,253	15,239


Question 4 - (Non-Binding) Single Payer System of Universal Health Care

WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
Those reading YES numbered	682	1,023	1,012	632	1,009	927	740	924	778	931	775	968	942	942	12,285
Those reading NO numbered	107	157	93	55	111	103	60	86	136	118	218	213	174	188	1,819
Blanks	59	98	82	29	95	89	53	61	80	66	97	95	108	123	1,135
Total	848	1,278	1,187	716	1,215	1,119	853	1,071	994	1,115	1,090	1,276	1,224	1,253	15,239

Question 5 -Rank Choice Voting (Non-Binding)

WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
Those reading YES numbered	530	748	750	478	757	692	517	688	573	652	494	656	662	631	8,828
Those reading NO numbered	214	351	290	169	287	269	231	253	282	335	436	462	396	404	4,379
Blanks	104	179	147	69	171	158	105	130	139	128	160	158	166	218	2,032
Total	848	1,278	1,187	716	1,215	1,119	853	1,071	994	1,115	1,090	1,276	1,224	1,253	15,239

A true summary of the returns of All Wards and All Precincts of the City of Northampton, State Election held on November 6, 2018, including Absent Voter's Ballots.

Attest: 

City Clerk

Voter Type: Absentee, Early, At Poll

Ward/Precinct	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
Early Voters															
Early Voter By Mail	4	8	2	0	7	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	29
Early Voter In Office	132	199	246	168	272	200	196	193	120	154	96	227	156	169	2528
Total Early Voters	136	207	248	168	279	200	198	195	120	154	96	227	156	173	2557
Absentee Voters															
Domestic Absentee Voter By Mail	29	58	45	32	35	26	28	73	29	44	31	49	65	75	619
Domestic Absentee Voter In Office	1	0	3	3	0	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	3	2	22
Overseas & Military Voter by Mail/e-mail	2	2	12	5	7	8	8	5	1	1	1	0	1	4	57
Total Absentee Voters	3	2	15	8	7	9	10	7	2	2	3	1	4	6	79
Poll Voters on Nov. 6, 2018															
Provisional Voters	1	0	0	1	3	2	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	10
Registered Voters at Polls	679	1011	879	507	891	882	616	796	842	915	960	998	999	999	11,974.00
Total Voters for 2018	848	1278	1187	716	1215	1119	853	1071	994	1115	1090	1276	1224	1253	15,239.00
Total Registered Voters	1388	1672	1473	1084	1704	1607	1275	1401	1497	1477	1533	1680	1687	1620	21,098
% of Registered Voters who voted on November 6, 2018	61%	76%	81%	66%	71%	70%	67%	76%	66%	75%	71%	76%	73%	77%	72%